

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,156	11/15/2001	Avi J. Ashkenazi	P2730P1C28	5501
35489 75	590 05/10/2004		EXAM	INER
HELLER EH	RMAN WHITE & MCA	LANDSMAN, ROBERT S		
275 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD MENLO PARK, CO 94025-3506			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	., ,		1647	

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/998,156	ASHKENAZI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Landsman	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON. cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status	,	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		-
Disposition of Claims	-	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>119-124</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wir from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>119-124</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
<u> </u>	antanio de la compansión de la compansió	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 November 2001</u> is/ar		objected to by the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		· ·
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
	arminer. Note the attached	Office Action of John PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been	received in this National Stage
. application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not a	received.
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] latanii 0	ummon (PTO 442)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/02</u> .	6) ⊠ Other: <u>Sequ</u>	<u>ience Comparison</u> .
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	ion Summarv	Part of Paper No /Mail Data 050404
OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Acti	ion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 050404

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Formal Matters

- A. The Preliminary Amendment dated 11/15/01, has been entered into the record.
- B. The Preliminary Amendment dated 9/3/02, has been entered into the record.
- C. Claims 119-124 are pending and are the subject of this Office Action.

2. Priority

Due to the excessive number of applications from which the present application claims benefit, priority cannot be determined. However, the Examiner has concluded that the subject matter defined in this application is not supported by any of the applications in the chain of priority because the presently claimed subject matter is not supported by a specific, substantial or well-established utility, nor, for this reason, is it enabled. Accordingly, the subject matter defined in claims 119-124 has an effective filing date of 11/15/01, which is the filing date of the present application.

Should the applicant disagree with the examiner's factual determination above, it is incumbent upon the applicant to provide the serial number and specific page number(s) of any parent application filed prior to 11/15/01 which specifically supports the particular claim limitation for each and every claim limitation in all the pending claims which applicant considers to have been in possession of and fully enabled for prior to 11/15/01.

3. Information Disclosure Statement

A. References 1 and 2 on the IDS dated 5/24/02 have been lined through since they are not in proper format, including author and accession number.

4. Specification

- A. Though none could be found, due to the length of the specification, Applicants are reminded that embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code are not permitted in the specification. See MPEP § 608.01.
- B. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title recites polypeptides and polynucleotides whereas the claims are drawn to antibodies.

C. The status of application 09/380,127 should be updated to "now abandoned" in the first line of the specification.

5. Claim Objections

A. The syntax of claims 119-124 could be improved by replacing the phrase "shown in Figure 240 (SEQ ID NO:345)" in claims 119 and 124 with "of SEQ ID NO:345."

6. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- A. Claim 122 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not understood how an antibody can be both an "antibody" and a "fragment." The phrase "an antibody, or fragment thereof," for example, could be used in independent claim 119 and claim 122 could be cancelled.
- B. Claim 124 is confusing since it is not clear what the definition of "specifically binds" is. This term is not defined in the specification. Furthermore, it is not clear how this claim differs from that of claim 119, where the antibody "binds" the protein of SEQ ID NO:345.

7. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- A. Claims 119 and 124 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ni et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,566,478). The claims recite an antibody which binds the protein of SEQ ID NO:345. Ni et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/998,156

Art Unit: 1647

teach a protein which is 66.5% identical to SEQ ID NO:345 of the present invention (Sequence

Comparison). Ni also teach antibodies (Example 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

A. Claims 119-124 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ni et al. in view of Goldenberg. The teachings of Goldenberg are recited in the above rejection under 35 USC 102. Ni do not teach monoclonal, humanized and labeled as well as fragments thereof peptides. However, Goldenberg et al. do teach the use of monoclonal, humanized and labeled antibodies (at least the Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to have produced labeled monoclonal and/or humanized antibodies to the protein of the present invention for the purpose of producing cell-specific targeting of antibodies to treat human disease. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to produce these antibodies for the protein of the present invention in view of the teachings of Goldenberg (see entire document, especially "Conclusions and Hopes).

10. Conclusion

A. No claim is allowable.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/998,156

Art Unit: 1647

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600 May 05, 2004

PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

```
; Patent No. 6566498
; GENERAL INFORMATION:
; APPLICANT: Ni, et al.
   TITLE OF INVENTION: Human Serine Protease and Serpin Polypeptides
  FILE REFERENCE: PF391
   CURRENT APPLICATION NUMBER: US/09/244,111
   CURRENT FILING DATE: 1999-02-04
   EARLIER APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/073,961
  EARLIER FILING DATE: 1998-02-06
  NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 13
  SOFTWARE: PatentIn Ver. 2.0
; SEQ ID NO 12
   LENGTH: 76
   TYPE: PRT
   ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
US-09-244-111-12
  Query Match
                       66.5%; Score 409; DB 4; Length 76;
 Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 1.8e-38;
 Matches 75; Conservative 0; Mismatches 0; Indels
Qу
           1 MGSSSFLVLMVSLVLVTLVAVEGVKEGIEKAGVCPADNVRCFKSDPPQCHTDQDCLGERK 60
             1 MGSSSFLVLMVSLVLVTLVAVEGVKEGIEKAGVCPADNVRCFKSDPPQCHTDQDCLGERK 60
Db
Qу
          61 CCYLHCGFKCVIPVK 75
            Db
         61 CCYLHCGFKCVIPVK 75
```